

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE DIVISION

GERALD WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Sonny Perdue, Secretary of the U.S.  
Department of Agriculture,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00280-JCC

COMPLAINT AND  
JURY DEMAND

Gerald Williams alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

**STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

1. Gerald Williams brings this lawsuit against Sonny Perdue, in his official capacity as Secretary of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), to redress the retaliation Williams has suffered at the U.S. Forest Service (FS), a USDA sub-agency, because he engaged in protected equal employment opportunity (EEO) activity.

1           2. Williams is an African-American male and a veteran FS firefighter whose  
2 performance record includes his successful eight-year tenure as the head of a firefighter  
3 squad known throughout the country as the Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie Initial Attack  
4 (MBSIA) Crew. Williams created the MBSIA Crew to diversify the fire organization within  
5 FS by recruiting, training, and permanently hiring women and racial minorities to combat  
6 the dangerous wildland fires that continue to wreak havoc in the West and Southwest  
7 regions of the United States.

8           3. For years, Williams successfully recruited young women and men from rural areas  
9 like Darrington, WA and put them on the front lines with young women and men from  
10 urban areas like Renton, WA. The popularity of the MBSIA Crew grew rapidly and  
11 garnered Williams nationwide recognition. Recruits came from as far as Alabama to learn  
12 the art of wildland firefighting under Williams.

13           4. In spite of Williams' success, FS management has continued to harass and retaliate  
14 against Williams because he (a) complained to FS management about the discriminatory  
15 conduct of his white subordinates who subjected their fellow black MBSIA crew members  
16 to provocative racist and homophobic remarks, death threats and physical assault; (b)  
17 challenged FS management's reckless mishandling of the fiasco resulting from his white  
18 subordinates' discriminatory conduct; and (c) filed an EEO complaint and encouraged his  
19 subordinates to do the same. All hell broke loose for Williams after that.

20           5. After he engaged in protected activity, FS management stripped Williams of his  
21 Superintendent role, his supervisor James "Britt" Davis leveled disciplinary actions against  
22 him, and as recent as 2017 and 2018, management has restricted his access to participate

1 on fire assignments by claiming that he lacks proper “certifications” although  
2 management has re-certified Williams, with no issues, for the last 30 years and continues  
3 to ask him to train firefighters for the very certifications that it claims he no longer has.

4 6. When Fire Staff Officer, Deb Roy, was asked what happened to Williams’  
5 certifications, she indicated that an audit discovered that some of his documentation was  
6 “missing, lost or destroyed.” While Agency guidelines allow management to certify  
7 firefighters who may have lost certifications, Deb Roy and Mr. Williams’ former  
8 supervisor, Anthony Engel, refuse to certify him. Engel, however, certified Williams in  
9 the past, prior to Williams engaging in protected activity that named Mr. Engel as the  
10 responsible management official.

11 7. Management has certified white firefighters who are similarly situated to Williams  
12 who have had missing credentials.

13 8. For Williams and the parts of his region that have been ravaged by wild fires for  
14 the last three years, this retaliation has been costly. He was eligible to retire as of  
15 November 30, 2018 but without his certifications he cannot earn post-retirement income  
16 by continuing to work in the fire area. Management has demoted him: he can no longer  
17 serve as an Incident Commander or a Type 1 Firefighter; has lost and continues to lose  
18 significant income and important career advancement opportunities. Instead of fighting  
19 fires, he trains recruits and then stays at the camp cleaning portable bathrooms and the  
20 campgrounds. He has suffered severe emotional distress.

21 9. FS management violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e  
22 *et seq.*, by retaliating against Williams and subjecting him to a hostile work environment.

1 Hence, under Title VII, Williams seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, compensatory  
2 damages, punitive damages, and other suitable equitable relief against USDA.

### 3 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4 10. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

5 11. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the events that  
6 give rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Western District of the State of Washington.

### 7 PARTIES

8 12. Plaintiff Gerald Williams lives in Darrington, WA. He is a long-time FS employee  
9 and has worked as a Supervisory Forest Technician since January 2005.

10 13. Defendant Sonny Perdue, sued in his official capacity, is the Secretary of the  
11 USDA.

### 12 EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

13 14. Williams has complied with all procedural requisites to this lawsuit and has  
14 exhausted his administrative remedies.

### 15 LEGAL BACKGROUND

16 15. Title VII forbids an employer from taking an adverse employment action against  
17 an employee for engaging in Title VII protected activity, such as opposing conduct that he  
18 reasonably and in good faith believes is unlawful under Title VII or participating in the  
19 EEO process. *Ray v. Henderson*, 217 F.3d 1234, 1240 (9th Cir. 2000).

20 16. In the retaliation context “an adverse employment action is adverse treatment that  
21 is reasonably likely to deter employees from engaging in [Title VII] protected activity. *Id.*  
22 at 1237.

1 17. Title VII also forbids an employer from subjecting an employee to a work  
2 environment that is “sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the victim’s  
3 employment and create an abusive working environment” in retaliation for the  
4 employee’s opposition to discrimination or participation in the EEO process. *Id.* at 1244–  
5 46.

6 18. Title VII also forbids an employer from treating employees differently on the basis  
7 of race. *Id.*

## 8 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

### 9 ***Williams Founds the MBSIA Crew to Bolster FS’s Diversity Efforts***

10 19. Williams founded the MBSIA Crew in 2005. It was formed to recruit persons from  
11 groups underrepresented within FS’s fire organization—namely, women and racial  
12 minorities—in order to help FS build a diverse firefighter workforce. Williams ran the  
13 MBSIA Crew, serving as its superintendent, for eight years.

14 20. During fire season five permanent firefighters (an assistant superintendent and  
15 four squad leaders) served on Williams’ leadership team. With the team’s help, Williams  
16 supervised 14 to 16 temporary employees who aspired to become permanent firefighters.

17 21. To become a permanent firefighter, a temporary employee must obtain a Type 1  
18 firefighter certification. Obtaining the credential requires the temporary employee to  
19 complete several task books with the signature of a squad leader. Without a squad  
20 leader’s signature on each task book, a temporary employee cannot advance in the Type 1  
21 certification process.

1 22. Williams recruited in the rural and urban areas of Greater Seattle from 2005 to  
2 2014. Each year, he went to schools and job fairs to recruit individuals belonging to the  
3 groups underrepresented within FS's fire organization—namely, women and racial  
4 minorities. His efforts were successful. More than 100 people were hired through the  
5 MBSIA Crew program during that period.

6 ***Racial Tension Rocks the MBSIA Crew in 2013***

7 23. In the summer of 2013, Williams hired Thomas Taylor to serve as assistant  
8 superintendent. Chris Howells and Jamie Larsen were hired to serve as squad leaders.  
9 Howells, Taylor, and Larsen are white.

10 24. That year, the MBSIA Crew was the most racially diverse firefighter squad within  
11 FS's fire organization. Fifteen of its members were white; five were black.

12 25. Williams worked hard to maintain congeniality within the MBSIA Crew.

13 26. But Taylor undermined his efforts by engaging in racially antagonistic behavior.

14 27. Taylor made racially stigmatizing remarks to the black crew members. For  
15 instance, Taylor asked one black crew member whether he joined MBSIA to build his  
16 career or “buy new rims” for his car. Taylor also referred to the black crew members  
17 collectively as “the posse.”

18 28. Once during physical training, Taylor physically assaulted Marleco Green, one of  
19 the black crew members. Taylor ran up behind Green abruptly while the group was  
20 running on a trail, causing him to fall and sprain his ankle. Shortly afterward, Taylor  
21 taunted and jeered at him, saying: “Keep running. Stop acting like a little bitch!” This was  
22 witnessed by several crew members.

31. Taylor also attacked Williams personally. He said that Williams was incompetent. And Taylor used racially coded language to criticize Williams' supervisory status and hiring efforts to train women and others from underrepresented groups. For instance, he said that FS had become "a welfare agency" that handed out jobs to undeserving, incompetent people.

33. By the end of the summer, most of the black crew members were having difficulty obtaining the credits they need toward their certification because assistant superintendent Taylor and squad leader Larsen refused to sign off on their task books.

1           34. This escalated the racial tension within the MBSIA Crew. Even James “Britt” Davis,  
2 Williams’ direct supervisor who is white, acknowledged it. In Williams’ ROI, he remarked  
3 that the MBSIA Crew at that time was divided into “two camps.”

4           35. Davis also referred to the black crew members as “city kids.”

5           ***White Crew Members lodge death threats against black crew members; make***  
6           ***homophobic remarks about Williams***

7           36. In early August of 2013, Williams learned from Darnell Dabney, a black crew  
8 member, that squad leader Jamie Larsen and crew member Jason Monteith, who is white,  
9 had recently exchanged text messages that were violent and homophobic. They  
10 communicated about going on “a murder rampage” and being frustrated with squad  
11 leader Howells, who had advocated for the black crew members that summer, because  
12 they believed he was trying to curry favor with Williams. They also ridiculed Williams’  
13 apparent sexuality—they repeatedly called him “*gayRald*”—and talked about poisoning  
14 him with Visine.

15           37. Around September of 2013, Williams reported the text messages and mounting  
16 racial tension to Phil Stanley, an HR official.

17           38. Stanley, who is white, told Williams that he could not file a union grievance or an  
18 EEO complaint, because Larsen and Monteith had exchanged the text messages on their  
19 personal cell phones. He also instructed Williams to discuss the situation with no one  
20 else and to instruct his subordinates to do the same. Additionally, he told Williams to  
21 ensure that the text messages, which had been forwarded to Williams and several other  
22 FS employees, were deleted from all government devices.



1 39. Williams then made the same report to Davis, who responded by echoing Stanley's  
2 instructions.

3 40. Around September 18, 2013, Davis discussed the text messages and mounting racial  
4 tension with several MBSIA crew members and became fully aware of Larsen's  
5 unrelenting insubordinate attitude toward Williams. Around the same time, the National  
6 Federation of Federal Employees (NFFE) also became aware of Larsen and Monteith's text  
7 messages and the mounting racial tension within the MBSIA Crew.

8 ***FS blocks Williams' ability to take corrective action against White Crew***  
9 ***members who exhibited racist hatred towards their black subordinates and***  
10 ***Williams***

11 41. About two months later, on November 12, 2013, Williams received a copy of a  
12 settlement agreement between FS and NFFE. The agreement was undated and devoid of  
13 factual detail. It also lacked a grievant's signature. It, however, included the following  
14 statement: "*Management has no intention to implement or take adverse disciplinary action*  
15 *or employment personnel actions on bargaining unit employees based solely on the text*  
16 *messages contained on the personal cell phones of Jamie Larsen and Jason Monteith.*"

17 42. This puzzled Williams for three reasons. First, the agreement expressly prevented  
18 him from exploring the possibility of initiating any disciplinary action against Larsen and  
19 Monteith for their obvious violations of MBSIA rules and USDA policy. Second, in his  
20 view, the agreement did not comport with USDA policy and the Master Agreement  
21 between FS and NFFE. Last, despite the harmful threats in Larsen and Monteith's text  
22 messages, FS management did not ask the Law Enforcement and Investigations Division

1 (LEI) to independently investigate the text messages, which violated USDA policy and the  
2 law. FS management's inaction is critical to Williams' legal claims.

3 43. Williams wanted to file an EEO complaint about Larsen and Monteith's text  
4 messages and toxic atmosphere that the messages created among MSBIA crew members.  
5 But the agreement discussed above, along with the instruction he received first from  
6 Stanley and then from Davis, stopped him.

7 ***FS (Jennifer Eberlien) Retaliates Against Williams for Retaining Legal Counsel:***  
8 ***disbands the MBSIA crew; reduces Williams' pay; and forbids him from working on***  
***fire assignments***

9 44. Sometime after delivering a copy of the suspicious agreement to Williams, FS  
10 management invited Williams to participate in a mediation related to the matter.

11 45. On January 28, 2014, Williams retained legal counsel and promptly informed FS  
12 management that Williams was represented.

13 46. About a week later, on February 5, 2014, FS management stripped Williams of his  
14 MBSIA supervisory duties and revoked his authority to rehire, recruit, and fill MBSIA  
15 crew positions.

16 47. Williams had communicated to FS management that he planned to rehire all the  
17 temporary MBSIA crew members, including all the black crew members who had  
18 complained about the way they were mistreated by Taylor, Larsen, and Monteith during  
19 the 2013 fire season.

20 48. FS management, including Davis and Forest Supervisor Jennifer Eberlien, decided  
21 to block Williams. As a result, on February 12, 2014, the MBSIA Crew was suspended for  
22 the remainder of the 2013 fire season.

1           49. The next month, FS management disbanded the MBSIA Crew for the May 2014 fire  
2 season. This altered Williams' job duties drastically because he could no longer hire or  
3 recruit for the MBSIA Crew.

4           50. That same month, on March 21, 2014, Davis assigned Williams and Howells to  
5 work in Darrington, WA with Taylor and Larsen.

6           51. Davis also took away from Williams all fire-detail opportunities. This meant that  
7 Williams could no longer fight fires and earn overtime/hazard pay as he had done during  
8 previous fire seasons. Because of this change, Williams lost substantial earnings.

9           ***FS (James "Britt" Davis) Retaliates Against Williams After He Files an EEO***  
10           ***Complaint: reports Williams to law enforcement based on allegations of "criminal"***  
11           ***conduct lodged against him by his former White subordinates and records him as***  
12           ***AWOL on his time sheet to prevent him from being paid; Williams treating***  
13           ***physician puts him on medical leave for several weeks due to stress from FS'***  
14           ***actions.***

15           52. Williams filed an EEO complaint on April 16, 2014. The complaint included claims  
16 for retaliation and a hostile work environment. Williams positively identified Taylor,  
17 Larsen, and Monteith as the persons who, among other things, used racial slurs against  
18 black crew members; made violent treats against black crew members; treated black crew  
19 members less favorably by refusing to sign off on their task books, thereby hindering their  
20 advancement in the FS fire program; and physically assaulted Marleco Green.

21           53. Davis, Taylor, Larsen, and Monteith became fully aware of Williams' EEO  
22 complaint.

1           54. Two weeks later, on May 1, 2014, Larsen and Taylor accused Williams of bringing  
2 brass knuckles to work. Both of them knew that brass knuckles are dangerous weapons  
3 forbidden under MBSIA rules and USDA policy.

4           55. Early the next day, Davis relayed Taylor and Larsen's allegations against Williams  
5 to Raymond Huffman, an LEI law enforcement officer. Davis admitted to Huffman that  
6 he did not have the brass knuckles in his possession, that he had never seen them, and  
7 that no FS employee had received any threats from Williams. Still, Davis insisted that  
8 Huffman take criminal enforcement action against Williams. Huffman told Davis that  
9 there was no legitimate basis for charging Williams with criminal conduct because Davis  
10 could not produce the alleged brass knuckles.

11           56. Unbeknownst to Davis, Huffman knew about Williams' April 16, 2014 EEO  
12 complaint because Williams had sought his advice before filing it.

13           57. That same morning, Davis telephoned Williams to interrogate him about Taylor  
14 and Larsen's unsubstantiated allegations. Williams explained that they were false. Davis  
15 then directed him to stay home that day so that he could carefully prepare a written  
16 statement for Huffman.

17           58. When Williams submitted his written statement, Huffman told him that FS  
18 management got LEI involved because FS management was targeting him for  
19 termination.

20           59. This news, along with a toxic work environment and a substantial loss in earnings,  
21 caused Williams emotional distress.

*Davis Persists in Retaliating Against Williams: forces him to work with Taylor, who previously discriminated against Black Crew Members, or Resign.*

65. Williams protested. He told Davis that he was uncomfortable working with Taylor because Taylor had falsely accused him of breaking the law and was one of the persons

1 named in his EEO complaint. He also reminded Davis that Taylor and he were not on  
2 speaking terms. As a result, said Williams, FS management was forcing Williams to work  
3 in an abusive work environment that would cause him to perform poorly and lead to his  
4 termination.

5 66. Davis remained unmoved. He maintained that if Williams refused to work with  
6 Taylor at Sedro-Wooley, Williams would have to choose between resignation and  
7 termination.

8 67. Williams was also uncomfortable working closely with Davis at Sedro-Wooley. He  
9 believed Davis was biased against him because Davis had treated him less favorably than  
10 Taylor and Larsen, his white subordinates: Davis reported Taylor and Larsen's allegations  
11 about the brass knuckles, which he never saw, to LEI; Davis did not report Larsen and  
12 Monteith's violent text messages, which he actually saw, to LEI.

13 68. Less than one week afterward, Taylor confronted Williams during business hours  
14 and asked Williams if he had filed an EEO complaint against him. The confrontation with  
15 Taylor made Williams realize that Davis had disclosed information about his EEO  
16 complaint to Taylor and as a result had violated USDA's policies on workplace  
17 confidentiality.

18 69. Williams asked to be reassigned. Davis denied his request.

19 70. When Davis learned that Williams' EEO complaint was entering the formal  
20 investigation phase, Davis directed Larsen to apply for a detail (she did) and helped  
21 Taylor find a new position within FS.

22 71. Davis did not give Williams similar help.

1           72. In 2016, Howells applied for a MBSIA squad leader position and Williams  
2 recommended him for it. Under Davis's new hiring process, however, Howells was not  
3 hired.

4           73. Williams was the primary decision-maker for MBSIA hiring before he filed his EEO  
5 complaint. Davis designed the new hiring process—this has drastically diminished  
6 Williams' role in the hiring process—because of Williams' EEO complaint. As a result,  
7 Williams was unable to select Howells—the only white crew leader from the MBSIA Crew  
8 of 2013 who had worked to advance MBSIA's original purpose.

9           74. To be sure, FS removed Williams' hiring authority to prevent him from recruiting  
10 men and women of color thereby ending the diversity pipeline into the FS' fire  
11 organization.

12           75. On or about February 21, 2017, Williams filed a lawsuit in this Court against the  
13 Agency to litigate his claims. The complaint (amended) was dismissed on procedural  
14 grounds.

15           ***Agency Refuses to Permit Williams to Work Fire Assignments***

16           76. Happy about the fortuitous dismissal of Williams' claim, Agency increased its  
17 retaliation against Williams, its officials feeling that they were truly beyond the reach of  
18 the law.

19           77. On or about March 2017, Mr. Williams took a detail to the Mt. Hood National  
20 Forest in Oregon where he would continue serving in his role as a firefighter and would  
21 also assist with training Job Corps recruits who want to become wildland firefighters. Mr.  
22 Williams was hired as a permanent on or about April 2017.

1 78. On or about July 2017, Mr. Williams asked Center Director, Kurt Davis, if he could  
2 go out on a fire assignment. Davis contacted Fire Management Officer (FMO) Deb Roy  
3 (Roy) asking for permission.

4 79. Roy indicated that Mr. Williams did not seem to have the proper certifications to  
5 participate on any fire assignments.

6 80. Mr. Davis asked Roy to provide him with the specific documentation that was  
7 missing so that he could convey this to Mr. Williams. Roy never responded.

8 81. It wasn't until October 2017 near the end of fire season, that Roy actually looked  
9 into the Incident Qualification Certification System (IQCS) to determine what, if any,  
10 documentation Williams was missing.

11 82. Roy determined that several items were missing from William's profile in IQCS  
12 and decided that he would not be able to take on fire assignments on this basis.

13 83. Forest Service Fire and Aviation Qualification Guide, Chapter 2, pt 1

14 2.22 - Record Keeping states: "The forest fire program management staff officer on  
15 each Forest shall ensure that certification records are maintained." It also states  
16 that where records are missing management can use alternative means to certify  
17 an individual fire fighter: "If no copy of a qualifying position task book verification  
18 page can be located, verification may be accomplished with a letter or e-mail from  
19 the final evaluator validating: (1)Satisfactory completion of all tasks. (2)A  
20 recommendation that the individual be considered for certification. (3)A list of  
21 the incident(s) and date(s) when the final evaluation and previously recommended  
22 certification was completed."



1 84. Roy refused to speak with Mr. William's prior FMO, Tony Engel, to obtain  
2 documentation that Williams- a 30-year firefighter and Incident Commander—  
3 had all documentation needed to accept fire assignments.

4 85. In December 2017, Roy told Mr. Williams in no uncertain terms that he would  
5 need to go back and locate documentation from 30 years ago before she would  
6 approve him to take any fire assignments.

7 86. On or about January 5, 2018, Mr. Williams went to Tony Engel, his former FMO  
8 from Mt. Baker, to ask him to write a letter to Roy as provided by 2.22 c(2). Engel  
9 refused to do this, although Engel had written a similar letter dated November 28,  
10 2003 for Mr. Williams' in the past to certify Williams as an ICT4 (Incident  
11 Commander Type 4).

12 87. After Mr. Williams' filed a lawsuit against the Agency, however, Engel refused  
13 to extend this opportunity to Williams.

14 88. To be sure, Mr. Williams had passed each and every audit the Forest Service  
15 conducted into his credentials until his lawsuit was filed in February 2017.

16 89. Once Mr. Williams filed his lawsuit against the Agency in federal court, he  
17 suddenly and mysteriously failed an "audit" that was conducted into his IQCS  
18 profile.

19 90. Mr. Williams' sent Roy all of his old task books, except the one for Task Force  
20 Leader, and other certifications and she still refused to approve him to go out on  
21 fire assignments. As of now, Mr. Williams—a 30-year fire veteran—is only  
22 certified as an entry level firefighter (Type 2).

91. However, Agency management had Williams training firefighters and signing off on task books for training components for that which the Agency claims he is not certified. Upon information and belief, the Agency has exercised discretion under Section 2.22 of the guidelines in favor of white firefighters by permitting an alternative means of certification for white firefighters so they could accept fire assignments.

92. Mr. Williams initiated his EEO complaint on September 17, 2017 and filed his formal complaint on January 12, 2018.

93. He has lost and continues to lose substantial earnings.

**CAUSES OF ACTION**  
***Count 1: Hostile Work Environment***  
**(Violation of Title VII)**

94. Williams incorporates every preceding paragraph as alleged above.

95. At all relevant times USDA was an “employer” under Title VII.

96. At all relevant times Williams was an “employee” under Title VII.

97. Williams engaged in protected activity when he complained about his white subordinates’ discriminatory conduct, challenged FS management’s mishandling of the fiasco resulting from the discriminatory conduct, and filed an EEO complaint on April 16, 2014.

98. USDA created and maintained an environment in which retaliatory intimidation, ridicule, and insult were so severe and pervasive that it altered the conditions of

1 Williams' employment and created a retaliatory hostile work environment, in  
2 violation of Title VII.

3 99. This ongoing retaliatory hostile work environment includes, but is not limited to,  
4 the following actions taken by FS management: dissuading Williams from filing an  
5 EEO complaint after he reported Larsen and Monteith's text messages, which  
6 included statements about engaging in violence and poisoning Williams by putting  
7 Visine in his water bottle, and the mounting racial tension among MSBIA crew  
8 members caused by the unlawful discriminatory conduct of Taylor, Larsen, and  
9 several other white crew members; instructing Williams not to talk about the text  
10 messages and the mounting racial tension among MBSIA crew members with anyone;  
11 stripping Williams of his MBSIA supervisory duties; suspending the MBSIA Crew;  
12 disbanding the MBSIA Crew; revoking Williams' authority to rehire, recruit, and fill  
13 positions for the MBSIA Crew; charging Williams with AWOL; interrogating Williams  
14 about false allegations of violent conduct; forcing Williams to work with two white  
15 subordinates who had antagonized him or talked about poisoning him; denying  
16 Williams' request for reassignment after his white subordinates falsely accused him of  
17 bringing a dangerous weapon to work and badgered him for filing an EEO complaint;  
18 and repeatedly threatening to terminate Williams.

19 100. The Agency also has refused to permit Mr. Williams to accept fire assignments,  
20 which substantially reduces his income. Meanwhile the Agency allows white  
21 firefighters who have documentation missing to accept fire assignments.

101. USDA is vicariously liable for the actions of every FS management actor involved in the events giving rise to this Complaint.

102. USDA has directly and proximately caused Williams substantial economic loss, damage to his career and professional reputation, humiliation, pain, and suffering.

103. USDA's actions were wanton, reckless, or in willful indifference to Williams' legal rights.

***Count 2: Retaliation***  
**(Violation of Title VII)**

104. Williams incorporates every preceding paragraph as alleged above.

105. As a result of Williams' protected EEO activity, USDA took adverse employment actions against him. They include, but are not limited to, the following: dissuading Williams from filing an EEO complaint after Williams reported Larsen and Monteith's text messages, which included statements about engaging in violence and poisoning Williams by putting Visine in his water bottle, and the mounting racial tension among MBSIA Crew members caused by the unlawful discriminatory conduct of Taylor, Larsen, and several other white crew members; stripping Williams of his MBSIA Crew supervisory duties; revoking Williams' authority to rehire, recruit, and fill positions for the MBSIA Crew; suspending the MBSIA Crew; disbanding the MBSIA Crew; revoking the option for Williams to do fire details; forcing Williams to work with two white subordinates who had antagonized him and talked about poisoning him; repeatedly threatening to fire Williams; and denying his request for reassignment after his white subordinates falsely accused him of bringing a dangerous weapon to work and badgered him for filing an EEO complaint.

106. The Agency also has refused to permit Mr. Williams to accept fire assignments, which substantially reduces his income. Meanwhile the Agency allows white firefighters who have documentation missing to accept fire assignments.

USDA's retaliatory actions were causally connected to Williams' protected activity.

107. USDA's actions have directly and proximately caused Williams substantial economic loss, damage to his career and professional reputation, humiliation, pain, and suffering.

108. USDA's actions were wanton, reckless, or in willful indifference to Williams' legal rights.

***Count 3: Discrimination (Race-Disparate Treatment)***

109. Williams incorporates every preceding paragraph as alleged above.

110. As a result of Williams' protected EEO activity, USDA took adverse employment actions against him. They include, but are not limited to, the following: dissuading Williams from filing an EEO complaint after Williams reported Larsen and Monteith's text messages, which included statements about engaging in violence and poisoning Williams by putting Visine in his water bottle, and the mounting racial tension among MBSIA Crew members caused by the unlawful discriminatory conduct of Taylor, Larsen, and several other white crew members; stripping Williams of his MBSIA Crew supervisory duties; revoking Williams' authority to rehire, recruit, and fill positions for the MBSIA Crew; suspending the MBSIA Crew; disbanding the MBSIA Crew; revoking the option for Williams to do fire details; forcing Williams to work with two white subordinates who had antagonized him and talked about poisoning him; repeatedly threatening to fire Williams; and denying his request for reassignment after his white

subordinates falsely accused him of bringing a dangerous weapon to work and badgered him for filing an EEO complaint.

111. The Agency also has refused to permit Mr. Williams to accept fire assignments, which substantially reduces his income. Meanwhile the Agency allows white firefighters who have documentation missing to accept fire assignments.

112. USDA's actions have directly and proximately caused Williams substantial economic loss, damage to his career and professional reputation, humiliation, pain, and suffering.

113. USDA's actions were wanton, reckless, or in willful indifference to Williams' legal rights.

### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Williams requests relief against USDA as follows:

- a. Enter judgment in his favor against USDA for retaliation in violation of Title VII;
- b. Enter judgment in his favor against USDA for subjecting him to a retaliatory hostile work environment in violation of Title VII;
- c. Award him compensatory damages of \$500,000.00;
- d. Award him punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- e. Award him attorney's fees and the costs of this litigation;
- f. Award him front pay and future benefits as may be appropriate;
- g. Enjoin USDA from discriminating against, retaliating against, or harassing him in any way;

FORD LAW PROS PC  
10 G Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20002  
Phone: (202) 792-4946

- 1 h. Award him appropriate prejudgment interest;
- 2 i. Award such other relief as may be necessary and appropriate.

3 **JURY DEMAND**

4 Williams demands a jury trial.

5 DATED: March 18, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

6 s/ Yaida O. Ford  
7 Yaida O. Ford (WSBA #48723)  
8 **FORD LAW PROS PC**  
9 10 G Street, new, Ste. 600  
Washington, DC 20002  
Office: (202) 792-4946  
yford@fordlawpros.com

10 *Attorney for Plaintiff*